## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

## DECLARATION OF NON-ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT (PCT Article 17(2)(a), Rules 13ter.1(c) and 39)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
63257	IMPORTANT DECLARATION	19/01/2006
International application No.	International Clina data (d. (c. d.)	
PCT/EP2004/053292	International filing date (day/month/year) 06/12/2004	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
		09/12/2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F944G4S		
Applicant		
THALES		
This International Searching Authority hereby declares, according to Article 17(2)(a), that no international search report will be established on the international application for the reasons indicated below.  1.		
meaningful search from being ca	rried out:	ly with prescribed requirements prevents a
the description	X the claims	the drawings
3. The failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions prevents a meaningful search from being carried out:		
the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.		
the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.		
4. Further comments:		
	<del>:-</del>	
	•	1
Name and mailing addrace of the ISA/		

dress of the ISA/

Office Européen des Brevets, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Ahmed Soliman

Telephone No.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/ EP2004/ 053292

The claims of the application do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 and PCT Rule 6.3(a) because the subject matter for which protection is sought is not defined.

In particular, the claims relate to a method for generating C code and other related files from UML specifications, using for this purpose the tool < Model In Action >.

However, the claims are too general and vague and do not go beyond a simple enumeration of the functionalities, without mentioning the technical means and the correlation thereof that ultimately leads to the claimed effect.

Consequently, the claims are worded in such a way that the subject matter thereof is defined in terms of the result to be achieved, without providing the technical devices required to achieve said subject matter.

Furthermore, the description does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 5, since it does not disclose in a sufficiently clear and complete manner the manner in which the abovementioned generation should be executed.

Consequently, the examiner is unable to identify any feature in respect of which a significant search can be carried out (PCT Article 17(2)(a)).

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (PCT Rule 66.1(e)). The applicant is advised that it is not normally the policy of the EPO in its capacity as International Preliminary Examining Authority to carry out a preliminary examination for subject matter that has not been searched. This applies whether or not the claims were amended after receipt of the search report or in the course of the procedure under PCT Chapter II. The applicant is reminded that if the application proceeds to the regional phase before the EPO an additional search may be carried out in the course of the examination carried out by the EPO (cf. EPO Guidelines, Part C, VI, 8.5) on the condition that the problems which led to the declaration under PCT Article 17(2) have been resolved.